On the Impact of Local- and Tropospheric Ties for the Rigorous Combination of GNSS & VLBI

Iván Herrera Pinzón, Markus Rothacher Institute for Geodesy and Photogrametry, ETHZ

Motivation: Rigorous Combination of Space Geodetic Techniques

A **consistent** estimation of the TRF is required to achieve higher precision levels.

Typically, **local ties** are used to realise this combination.

Highly accurate **tropospheric-** and clock- **ties** as alternative ways to link techniques.

Goal: GNSS and VLBI joint processing (**observation level**), and investigations on the impact that **terrestrial and tropospheric ties** have on the precision.



Characteristics & Limitations of the GNSS-VLBI Joint Processing

Modelling and a-priori information

Troposphere	6-hourly ECMWF-based hydrostatic troposphere delays mapped with VMF
Solid Earth tides	IERS 2010 conventions
Permanent tide	Conventional tide free
Ocean tide model	FES2004
Ocean loading	Tidal: FES2004, computed with the free ocean tide loading provider
	Non-tidal: 6-hourly GRACE AOD1B atmospheric and oceanic de-aliasing product
Source catalogue	ICRF3
Observations	RINEX – NGS Cards
Processing approach	LSE. SD. DD. PP + AR
Datum definition	NNT/NNR – Constrained – Free Network – Fixed
Earth rotation	Offset and Drift – Piecewise linear functions
Troposphere	Piecewise linear functions, with several mapping functions
Receiver clock	Offset and Drift – Piecewise linear functions
Satellite orbits	Dynamic modelling
Antenna	Axes offset. No thermal deformation
Inter-technique Weight	Based on Repeatabilities, and for NEQ

Available Dataset and Data Processing

CONT17 campaign:

- 28.11.2017 12.12.2017
- 28 Legacy S/X Stations
- + 180 GNSS stations (IGS Network)

Estimated parameters (daily solutions):

- Daily station coordinates (NNR–NNT)
- Daily Earth orientation parameters (EOP)
- Zenith tropospheric delays (1 h) + Gradients (24 h)
- VLBI clock offsets (3 h)
- Geocentre coordinates (GCC)
- GNSS orbits

Combined solutions (15-day rigorous combination):

GNSS + VLBI + Terrestrial ties + Tropospheric ties



ETHzürich Behaviour of Troposphere Estimates

- In general: ZTD mean values within ±10 mm (excluding Fort Davis)
- ZWD not correlated with height difference (FD-VLBI – MOD1: ≈ 7 mm)



Mean ZWD Differences: VLBI-GNSS

Determination of the Optimal Weighting of the Combination

Quadratic mean repeatability:
$$r^2 = \frac{r_e^2 + r_n^2 + r_u^2}{3}$$

Relative weighting: $w_{r_{ij}} = \frac{r_i^2}{r_j^2}$

Main diagonal elements of the NEQ : $\overline{N} = \frac{1}{n_{crd}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{crd}} N_{ii}$ Weighting a technique *j* w.r.t. the technique *i*: $w_{ij} = \frac{\overline{N}_i}{\overline{N}_j} \cdot w_{r_{ij}}$

Optimal Weight: 0.276 to VLBI NEQs



ETHzürich Optimal Weighting of the Combination

4 inter-technique weights: 100⁻², **0.276**, 1, 100² to **VLBI NEQs**.

7.8 7.6 6 5.1 4.6 4.6 23 1.9 2.2 2.2 2.2 1.7 1.0 0 100^{-2} 0.276 100^{2} **BR VLBA – BREW** 10 7.3 7.3 7.6 3.5_2.8 3.5 2.8 1.9 2.1 1.9 2.1 1.8 1.7 1.8 1.7 2.4 100^{-2} 0.276 100^{2} More weight to VLBI solution More weight to GNSS solution

0.276 is the optimal w.r.t repeatabilities performance

Optimal Weighting of the Combination: All Repeatabilites







ETHzürich Optimal Weighting of the Combination: EOPs



RMS of UT1-UTC and LoD differences to IERS C04 Series [μs , $\mu s/day$]



Constraints for the Local Ties

Repeatabilities [mm] for 4 different levels of Local Ties Constraints (based on formal errors)



Coordinate Repeatabilities [mm]: Improvement per Station





Optimal NEQ Weight + Optimal Local Tie Constraints

Coordinate Repeatabilities [mm]: Overall Improvement







Comparison with Individual Solutions: Coordinate Repetabilities [mm]



13

ETH zürich Comparison with Individual Solutions: EOPs



- RMS of daily EOP differences to IGS for both individual solutions, and the combined solution
- Improvements on Polar Motion and LoD

ETH zürich Summary and Outlook

- A rigorously combined solution VLBI-GNSS for the estimation of a TRF has been achieved.
- This solution profits from **local and tropospheric ties** at (selected) co-location sites. Inter-technique weights and proper local tie constraints were used.
- The combined solution with local and troposphere ties generally **improves the precision** of all the estimated geodetic parameters.
- In particular: coordinate repeatabilities, polar motion and LoD estimates are improved.

Thanks for your attention

Iván Herrera Pinzón

Chair of Mathematical and Physical Geodesy Institute of Geodesy and Photogrammetry Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich Ivan.Herrera@geod.baug.ethz.ch